IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 1:18-cr-00011-TMB-MMS-1

Plaintiff,

vs.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE UPON A PLEA OF GUILTY

FINAL REPORT AND

KEVIN DOMINIQUE LEONARD-KINNEY,

Defendant.

Upon Defendant's request to enter a guilty plea, pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, to Count I, II, and admission as to the Criminal Forfeiture Allegation No. 1 and 2 of the Indictment, this matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge by the District Court, with the written 1 and oral consents of Defendant, counsel for Defendant, and counsel for the United States.

Thereafter, the matter came on for a hearing on Defendant's guilty plea, in full compliance with Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, before the Magistrate Judge, in open court and on the record.

¹ Doc. 98.

In consideration of that hearing and the allocution made by the Defendant under oath on

the record and in the presence of counsel, and the remarks of the Assistant United States Attorney,

A. I make the following FINDNGS – that the Defendant understands:

1. That any false statements made by the Defendant under oath may later be used

against him in a prosecution for perjury;

2. The right to persist in a plea of not-guilty;

3. The consequence of not being a United States citizen;

4. The nature of the charges against him;

5. The loss of certain federal benefits because the charges include a drug crime;

6. The maximum possible sentence, including imprisonment, fine, and the effect of

the supervised release term;

7. Any applicable mandatory minimum penalty;

8. The Court's authority to order restitution;

9. The Court's obligation to impose a special assessment;

10. Any applicable forfeiture;

11. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury;

12. The right to be represented by counsel – and if necessary to have the court appoint

counsel at trial, and at every other stage of the proceedings;

13. The right to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses, to be protected from

compelled self-incrimination, to testify and present evidence, and to compel the

attendance of witnesses;

14. The Defendant's waiver of trial rights if the Court accepts a guilty plea or nolo

contendere;

15. That he knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily waived his right to appeal or

collaterally attack his conviction and any sentence imposed if it is within the range

contemplated by the plea agreement; and

16. That in determining a sentence, the court's obligation to calculate the applicable

sentencing guideline range and to consider that range pursuant to the Sentencing

Guidelines promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission, possible

departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and variances under 18 U.S.C.

§3553(a).

В. I further FIND that:

1. The Defendant is competent to enter a plea;

2. That the plea of guilty by the Defendant has been knowingly and voluntarily made

and is not the result of force, threats, or coercion;

3. Any agreements or promises which induced the plea of guilty are set forth in the

written plea agreement or on the record; and

4. That there is a factual basis for the Defendant's plea.

C. **I RECOMMEND** that the District Court accept the Defendant's plea of guilty to

Count I and II of the Indictment which charges: Count I - Title 21, United States Code, Sections

846, 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A), (B), & (C) - Drug Conspiracy; and Count II - Title 18, United States

Code, Section 924(c)(1)(A)(i) – Possession of Firearms in Furtherance of Drug Trafficking. I

FURTHER RECOMMEND the District Court accept the Defendant's admission to the Criminal

Forfeiture Allegation No. 1 and 2 of the Indictment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

D. A Presentence Report be prepared.

United States v. Leonard-Kinney

1. Any objection(s) to the presentence report shall be filed no later than fourteen (14)

days after receiving the presentence report pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(f)(1);

2. Any sentencing memorandum shall be filed no later than seven (7) business days

prior to sentencing pursuant to D.Ak. L.Cr.R. 32.1(d).

The Sentencing hearing will be before United States District Judge Timothy M. Burgess.

The court excludes time from May 24, 2019 until the time of sentencing pursuant to 18 U.S.C.

§3161(h)(1)(G) on the ground that the District Judge will be considering the proposed plea

agreement.

DATED this 12th day of June, 2019, at Anchorage, Alaska.

s/ Matthew M. Scoble

MATTHEW M. SCOBLE

United States Magistrate Judge

This Report and Recommendation is being issued as a Final Report and Recommendation.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim P. 59(b)(3), any objections will be considered by the District Court Judge

who will accept, reject, or modify the recommendation following de novo review. Any objections

must be filed within **three** (3) days from the date of service of this Report and Recommendation.

The shortened objection deadline is due to the request of the District Court Judge. Fed. R.

Crim P. 59(b)(2) and D. Ak. L.M.R. 6(a) authorizes the court to alter the standard objection

deadlines.

Reports and recommendations are not appealable orders. Any notice of appeal pursuant to Fed.

R. App. P. 4(a)(1) should not be filed until entry of the District Court's judgment. See Hilliard v.

Kincheloe, 796 F.2d 308 (9th Cir. 1986).

United States v. Leonard-Kinney